

Importance of Electrical Cardioversion for Patient with Atrial Fibrillation in Emergency Department

¹Faisal Abdulaziz H Almajayishi, ²Adel Ahmed Ali Alhayani,
³Ahmed Marzouq Abdulfatah Alamri, ⁴Hassan Ahmed Mohammed Alzahrani,
⁵Esam Mousa Othman Aqeeli, ⁶Ismaeel Hamza Ahmed Saleh,
⁷Faris Matouq Eidah Almowalad, ⁸Abdulrhman Abdullah Hussain Alabdulmohssen,
⁹Hala Abdullah Hussain Alhuzali, ¹⁰Fatimah Assad Yahya Aljabri

Abstract: Main objective of this review was to emphasize the importance of the electrical cardioversion in Emergency atrial fibrillation management, we also intended to review the other methods of atrial fibrillation management in ED. A conducted search of literature using electronic databases, including Medline, EMBASE, and Cochrane for studies discussing the electrical cardioversion for management atrial fibrillation in Emergency department published earlier up to 2017. Not all patients with acute atrial fibrillation presenting to an emergency department require medical facility admission. It is possible to safely handle and release a part of patients with acute atrial fibrillation in an emergency division observation unit or professional decision system. Management needs to be individualized to each patient relying on numerous factors including patient signs and symptoms. Electric cardioversion of atrial fibrillation in an outpatient setting with a surveillance for at least 3 h is possible in a selected populace with a reduced threat. Patients with a much shorter AF-episode appear to have a much better end result in a worrying quality of life. In spite of a substantial recurrence rate electrical cardioversion has a high patient-acceptance. A high percentage of patients chooses cardioversion in an outpatient setting

Keywords: Electrical Cardioversion, Atrial Fibrillation, ED (Emergency Department).

1. INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained arrhythmia and also make up 30-- 40% of hospitalizations as a result of a cardiac rhythm disruption [1,2]. Atrial fibrillation affects up to 4% of those over 60 years old as well as might be an independent risk aspect for fatality [1]. After change for well-known threat variables, the relative threat of death is 1.5 for men and also 1.9 for women [2] Atrial fibrillation is uncommon in the very first two decades of life, however when it does occur is generally connected with congenital heart disease or an accessory path [3].

The system of initiation of atrial fibrillation is most likely to be multifactorial and also may be connected to the tachycardia cycle size, intrinsic atrial vulnerability, contraction-excitation comments, or a mix of these variables [4]. Many emergency situation medical professionals will have an established routine for taking care of the emergency situation division (ED) patient with atrial fibrillation, in the last 4 years 9 brand-new updates and also standards for the management of these patients have been released by European, Canadian, and also United States specialist groups providing numerous of those methods out of day. We review our method to the ED patient with atrial fibrillation in accordance with the most current guidelines [5,6,7,8].

With the development of scientific choice devices, and as more long term periods of management come to be typical in the emergency department, the have to admit all patients with acute atrial fibrillation has actually been doubted [7,8].

There is raising evidence that selected patients with acute atrial fibrillation can be securely managed in an emergency situation department monitoring system or medical decision device without the requirement for health center admission [8].

Electrical cardioversion is an effective, risk-free and also developed an approach to transform atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm. The success prices reported after outside cardioversion is in between 67 and 90% [9].

Main objective of this review was to emphasize the importance of the electrical cardioversion in Emergency atrial fibrillation management, we also intended to review the other methods of atrial fibrillation management in ED.

2. METHODOLOGY

A conducted search of literature using electronic databases, including Medline, EMBASE, and Cochrane for studies discussing the electrical cardioversion for management atrial fibrillation in Emergency department published earlier up to 2017. Only English language with human subject articles were included. References list of each identified study were searched for more relevant study to our main objective in this review.

3. DISCUSSION

The treatment of AF has undergone a paradigm shift over the last decade. The typical approach of primary rhythm control has actually been tested by recent data comparing this to an extra conservative rate control strategy. Results of the several primary rate versus rhythm control tests have actually revealed that rate control is a non-inferior method to rhythm control in terms of death or lifestyle outcomes [10,11,12]. Nonetheless, in the largest of these studies, mortality was discovered to be higher for rhythm control in those with coronary cardiovascular disease, those without cardiac arrest and those matured over 65 years old. In an added rate versus rhythm control research [13], rhythm control was located to be more suitable amongst patients with heart failure and also dilated cardiomyopathy. However, there was significant variant in the duration, strength and high quality of anticoagulation in the rhythm control arm of this study that may have influenced the incidence of stroke as well as thromboembolism [12,13].

A lot of ED atrial fibrillation patients will be alert, with a well-perfusing blood pressure. Around 20% of these patients will certainly experience upper body discomfort with the rapid pulse, yet in the majority this is demand-related as well as not due to a tear of an atherosclerotic plaque. One study found that ED atrial fibrillation patients without evidence of considerable ST-segment changes went to very reduced risk for acute myocardial infarction [14]. One more found that atrial fibrillation did not change the loved one threat of an acute coronary disorder in ED patients who had breast discomfort syndromes [15] (Table 1) summing up the assessment of atrial fibrillation in ED. The success rate of individual medications is highly variable from different studies because of distinctions in patient selection as well as interpretations of successful cardioversion.

Table 1: Emergency department assessment of atrial fibrillation

History
• Symptoms of atrial fibrillation (palpitations, dyspnoea, fatigue, polyuria, cognitive impairment).
• Alcohol consumption.
• Medications (proarrhythmic drugs).
Physical examination
• Signs of structural heart disease.
• Signs of alcohol withdrawal and hepatic failure.
• Signs of thyroid disease.
Investigations
• Electrocardiography.
• Chest radiography.
• Full blood count.
• International normalised ratio.
• Urea and electrolytes.
• Arterial blood gases.

• Cardiac enzymes.
• Liver function test.
• Blood glucose.
• Thyroid function test.

• **Approach to Rate/Rhythm Control:**

Both options are available in the stable patient who has been in atrial fibrillation (clear start of palpitations) for fewer than 48 hours. After 48 hrs, rate control is typically the only alternative due to the boosted threat of stroke [5,15].

For patients matured 65 years and also older, several landmark trials showed that there was no difference in results with rate versus rhythm control after 5 years [10,12]. Therefore, for these patients, unless they are extremely symptomatic, we typically make use of a rate-control technique. Conversion to sinus rhythm at a later date remains an alternative in this situation, after a minimum of 3 weeks of anticoagulation.

5-year-end results were no different in these trials for patients aged 65 years or older, the longer-term end results might be fairly different for a 50-year-old patient that has another 30 to 40 years to life in atrial fibrillation. Both the American as well as European standards indicate that these patients should have restoration of regular sinus rhythm [6,8]. Whether that is performed by the emergency physician on the day of discussion (if duration is <48 hours) or a number of weeks later by a cardiologist relies on emergency situation doctor comfort with cardioversion, healthcare facility plans, as well as other aspects.

If the rate-control option is picked, one of the most typical drugs utilized are β -blockers and also nondihydropyridine calcium-channel blockers (Table 2). Digoxin does not offer appropriate rate control, with the exception of patients that are completely less active (it does not regulate pulse rate during any type of exertion) [16]. Presently, the only indicator for digoxin is when rate control with a β -blocker or calcium-channel blocker has fallen short, in which instance digoxin is included in the routine [6,8]. Anecdotally, cardiologists tend to make use of β -blockers, whereas emergency situation medical professionals choose diltiazem. Offered the possibility for hypotension when integrating β -blockers with calcium-channel blockers, we suggest utilizing one or the other, not both [7,8].

Table 1: Common rate-control options in the ED

Rate-Control Medication	Form	Standard Initial Dose [±]	Notes
β-Blockers			
Metoprolol	IV	5-mg slow push/2 min; repeat every 5 min to max 15 mg	Maximal pulse rate reduction occurs at \approx 5 min
Metoprolol	PO	25 mg (twice a day)	May give 37.5 mg, 50 mg, or up to a total of 100 mg, depending on pulse rate response (peak response is at \approx 1.5 h)
Bisoprolol	PO	2.5–5 mg (once a day)	Good choice for patients with reactive airways
Carvedilol	PO	3.125 mg (twice a day)	Good choice for patients with a history of heart failure
Nondihydropyridine calcium-channel blockers			
Diltiazem	IV	\sim 20-mg slow push/2 min (0.25 mg/kg); may give another 25 mg (0.35 mg/kg)	Maximal pulse rate reduction occurs at 2–7 min May switch to infusion 5–15 mg/h after second dose
Diltiazem	PO	120–240 mg (twice a day or once a day) [±]	
Verapamil	PO	40–80 mg (3 times daily) [±]	More potential to cause hypotension than diltiazem

The role of cardioversion in Rhythm control:

Rhythm control may include electrical (ECV) and pharmacological (PCV) cardioversion, in addition to using surgical atrial debulking (Maze) and catheter ablation (eg, pulmonary PVI). No difference has been located in rates of successful cardioversion or in the threat of thromboembolism and stroke between electrical cardioversion (ECV) as well as PCV as the first therapy strategy [17].

In prolonged cases of AF, ECV is the recommended option based on medical experience as well as current scientific practice, whereas, within 48 hrs of beginning, either pharmacological or electrical cardioversion could be carried out. Whilst first cardioversion is successful in achieving sinus rhythm in the majority, around 50% of patients are back in AF by one year [18]. Patients taken into consideration probably to successfully keep and cardiovert sinus rhythm are those with relentless AF of shorter period (start <12 months), those without underlying structural heart problem or AF additional to a precipitant (eg, dealt with thyroid illness, high temperature) that has been treated. Therefore, careful consideration should be produced the existence of coexisting aspects that affect success. As an example, a history of recurring AF, chronic-obstructive pulmonary condition, cardiac arrest and also cardiomegaly has actually been discovered to be connected with reduced cardioversion success [19].

Two different techniques exist for the emergency situation management of cardiac rhythm in patients with acute atrial fibrillation. The first requires enabling atrial fibrillation to continue while ventricular rate is controlled. The second is cardioversion as well as trying to keep sinus rhythm with antiarrhythmic medications. Till just recently ventricular rate control was intuitively considered rather inferior to cardioversion, since determination of atrial fibrillation was believed to result in continuation of signs and symptoms [20]. Early cardioversion (<48 hrs after beginning of atrial fibrillation) raises the rate of successful cardioversion, lowers the occurrence of atrial fibrillation reappearance and also avoids the demand for long-term anticoagulation. In addition, patients providing to the emergency situation division with acute atrial fibrillation take advantage of timely remediation of sinus rhythm because atrial fibrillation begets atrial fibrillation [21]. More importantly, remediation of sinus rhythm permits secure discharge from the emergency situation department without the need for healthcare facility admission [23,24].

The choice to cardiovert a patient with acute atrial fibrillation is not always easy due to the fact that there is no solid proof that cardioversion adhered to by extended upkeep of sinus rhythm is beneficial [24] Furthermore, cardioversion exposes the patient to the dangers connected with anticoagulation while in the emergency division. It also triggers transient atrial disorder [25]. In this regard, the period of atrial fibrillation is a crucial consideration prior to cardioversion. 2 days (48 hrs) of acute atrial fibrillation is thought about the timepoint beyond which left atrial appendage thrombi may form, as well as cardioversion generated atrial spectacular is likely to occur [25]. Thrombus created throughout the duration of stunning may be expelled after the return of atrial mechanical function. This could clarify the clustering of thromboembolic events in the very first 10 days after cardioversion [26].

Recent data from 2 huge professional trials, AFFIRM (Atrial Fibrillation Follow-up Investigation in Rhythm Management) and also RACE (Rate Control versus Electrical Cardioversion for Persistent Atrial Fibrillation), verify ventricular rate control as a primary technique for the management of acute atrial fibrillation [27,28]. AFFIRM randomised patients to pharmacological therapy either to recover atrial rhythm or to manage ventricular heart rate, whereas RACE compared pharmacological treatment to control heart rate with electrocardioversion of rhythm. Data from these trials suggest that rate control is cheaper and also comparable to maintenance of sinus rhythm with regard to mortality, in those patients that can endure atrial fibrillation [27,28]. In the AFFIRM test, the survival curves did show up to separate at about 1.5-- 2 years in favour of the rate control team. Death and disabling stroke, hospitalisations, or new arrhythmias all had the tendency to be less typical in the rate control team [27] In the RACE test, patients in the rhythm control team experienced extra thromboembolic difficulties, even more heart failure, and extra adverse impacts [28].

Cardioversion ought to be tried just after appropriate anticoagulation. When acute atrial fibrillation produces haemodynamic instability in the kind of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, shock or lung oedema, instant cardioversion should not be delayed to accomplish healing anticoagulation. In this context, intravenous heparin or low molecular weight heparin must be launched before cardioversion [22].

Cardioversion is attained electrically (simultaneous straight present countershock) or chemically (oral or intravenous antiarrhythmic drugs). The development of brand-new antiarrhythmic medicines has actually boosted the appeal of chemical (medicinal) cardioversion, however some downsides persist, especially the proarrhythmic impact of a lot of these drugs. Spontaneous conversion to sinus rhythm within 24 hours after the onset of atrial fibrillation is common, happening in up to two thirds of patients Once the duration of atrial fibrillation exceeds 24 hours, the probability of conversion declines. After one week of persistent atrial fibrillation, spontaneous conversion is unusual [26].

The choice of chemical or electrical cardioversion relies on the patient. As an example, in patients with high blood pressure electrical cardioversion may be thrombogenic [28]. Hence, in these patients, chemical cardioversion could be the

technique of option for repair of sinus rhythm. Readily available facilities and expertise (doctor experience) in the emergency situation department likewise figures out which method of cardioversion can be used [11,17].

Compared with electric cardioversion, chemical cardioversion is easier yet less effective, achieving success in approximately 50% of emergency situation division patients [23]. However, no sedation or anaesthesia backup is required for successful chemical conversion. Emergency situation division electric cardioversion achieves success in about 80% to 89% of cases but requires intravenous sedation [23,24]. Here, short-acting intravenous anesthetic agents generating conscious sedation are more effective because fast healing article- cardioversion may stay clear of medical facility admission. Patients who undertake an unsuccessful effort at chemical cardioversion can subsequently undergo successful electric cardioversion [23,24].

Immediate electrical cardioversion is suggested in patients with acute atrial fibrillation and a quick ventricular response associated with acute myocardial infarction, symptomatic high blood pressure, angina, or heart failure that does not respond promptly to pharmacological steps [22,28].

4. CONCLUSION

Not all patients with acute atrial fibrillation presenting to an emergency department require medical facility admission. It is possible to safely handle and release a part of patients with acute atrial fibrillation in an emergency division observation unit or professional decision system. Management needs to be individualized to each patient relying on numerous factors including patient signs and symptoms. Electric cardioversion of atrial fibrillation in an outpatient setting with a surveillance for at least 3 h is possible in a selected populace with a reduced threat. Patients with a much shorter AF-episode appear to have a much better end result in a worrying quality of life. In spite of a substantial recurrence rate electrical cardioversion has a high patient-acceptance. A high percentage of patients chooses cardioversion in an outpatient setting

REFERENCES

- [1] Benjamin EJ, Levy D, Vaziri SM. Independent risk factors for atrial fibrillation in a population-based cohort: the Framingham Heart Study. *JAMA*1994;271:840–4.
- [2] Benjamin EJ, Wolf PA, D’Agostino RB, et al. Impact of atrial fibrillation on the risk of death: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*1998;98:946–52.
- [3] Prystowsky EN, Benson DW, Fuster V, et al. Management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a statement for healthcare professionals from the subcommittee on electrocardiography and electrophysiology, American Heart Association. *Circulation*1996;93:1262–77.
- [4] Prystowsky EN. Tachycardia-induced tachycardia: a mechanism of initiation of atrial fibrillation. In: DiMarco JP, Prystowsky EN, eds. *Atrial arrhythmias: state of the art*. Armonk, NY: Futura Publishing Co, 1995.
- [5] Cairns JA, Connolly S, McMurry S, et al. Canadian Cardiovascular Society atrial fibrillation guidelines 2010: prevention of stroke and systemic thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation and flutter. *Can J Cardiol*. 2011;27:74–90.
- [6] Camm AJ, Kirchhof P, Lip GY, et al. Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the Task Force for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:2369–2429.
- [7] January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. 2014;64:e1–e76.
- [8] Skanes AC, Healey JS, Cairns JA, et al. Focused 2012 update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society atrial fibrillation guidelines: recommendations for stroke prevention and rate/rhythm control. *Can J Cardiol*. 2012;28:125–136.
- [9] Yu WC, Lin YK, Tai CT, Tsai CF, Hsieh MH, Chen CC, et al. Early recurrence of atrial fibrillation after external cardioversion. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1999;22:1614 – 9.
- [10] van Walraven C, Hart RG, Singer DE, et al. Oral anticoagulants vs aspirin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: an individual patient meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2002;288:2441–8.

- [11] Wazni OM, Marrouche NF, Martin DO, et al. Radiofrequency ablation vs antiarrhythmic drugs as first-line treatment of symptomatic atrial fibrillation: A randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2005;293:2634–40.
- [12] Wyse DG, Waldo AL, DiMarco JP, et al. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347:1825–33.
- [13] Okcun B, Yigit Z, Arat A. Comparison of rate and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation and nonischemic heart failure. *Jpn Heart J*. 2004;45:591–601.
- [14] Zimetbaum PJ, Josephson ME, McDonald MJ, et al. Incidence and predictors of myocardial infarction among patients with atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2000;36:1223–1227.
- [15] Brown AM, Sease KL, Robey JL, et al. The risk for acute coronary syndrome associated with atrial fibrillation among ED patients with chest pain syndromes. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2007;25:523–528.
- [16] David D, Segni ED, Klein HO, et al. Inefficacy of digitalis in the control of heart rate in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation: beneficial effect of an added beta adrenergic blocking agent. *Am J Cardiol*. 1979;44:1378–1382.
- [17] Valencia MJ, Climent PV, Marin O, et al. The efficacy of scheduled cardioversion in atrial fibrillation: Comparison of two schemes of treatment: Electrical versus pharmacological cardioversion. *Revista Espanola de Cardiologia*. 2002;55:113–20.
- [18] Lim HS, Hamaad A, Lip GY. Clinical management of atrial fibrillation—rate control versus rhythm control. *Crit Care*. 2004;8:271–9.
- [19] Dmochowska-Perz M, Loboż-Grudzien K, Sokalski L, et al. Factors predicting recurrence of atrial fibrillation after cardioversion. *Kardiologia Polska*. 2002;57:501–11.
- [20] Okcun B, Yigit Z, Kucukoglu MS, et al. Predictors for maintenance of sinus rhythm after cardioversion in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Echocardiography*. 2002;19:351–7.
- [21] Prystowsky EN, Katz A. Atrial fibrillation. In: Topol EJ, ed. *Textbook of cardiovascular medicine*. 2nd Ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2002.
- [22] Prystowsky EN, Katz A. Atrial fibrillation. In: Topol EJ, ed. *Textbook of cardiovascular medicine*. 2nd Ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2002.
- [23] Koenig BO, Ross MA, Jackson RE. An emergency department observation unit protocol for acute-onset atrial fibrillation is feasible. *Ann Emerg Med* 2002;39:374–81.
- [24] Kim MH, Morady F, Conlon B, et al. A prospective, randomized controlled trial of an emergency department-based atrial fibrillation treatment strategy with low-molecular-weight heparin. *Ann Emerg Med* 2002;40:187–92.
- [25] Silverman DI, Manning WJ. Role of echocardiography in patients undergoing elective cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 1998;98:479–86.
- [26] Berger M, Schweitzer P. Timing of thromboembolic events after electrical cardioversion of atrial fibrillation or flutter: a retrospective analysis. *Am J Cardiol* 1998;82:1545–7, A8.
- [27] The Atrial Fibrillation Follow-up Investigation of Rhythm Management (AFFIRM) Investigators. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:1825–33.
- [28] Van Gelder IC, Hagens VE, Bosker HA, et al. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with recurrent persistent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:1834–40.